From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To: BORDEN LADNER GERVAIS LLI World Exchange Plaza 1100 - 100 Queen Street OTTAWA, Ontario Canada, K1P 1J9	w	PCT WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1)					
	Date of mailing (day/month/year)	10 August 2005 (10-08-2005)					
Applicant's or agent's file reference PAT 2295W-90		FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below					
	ational filing date (day/month/year) y 2005 (06-05-2005)	Priority date (day/month/year) 06 May 2004 (06-05-2004)					
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC IPC(7): H01L 29/66, H01L 29/78, H01L 27/115, H01L 21/336, G11C 17/16							
Applicant SIDENSE CORP. ET AL							
1. This opinion contains indications relating	to the following items:						
[X] Box No. I Basis of the o	pinion	~					
[] Box No. II Priority							
[] Box No. III Non-establish	ment of opinion with regard to novel	with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
[] Box No. IV Lack of unity	of invention						
	tement under Rule 43bis. 1(a)(I) with r citations and explanations supporting	regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial such statement					
[] Box No. VI Certain docur	nents cited						
[] Box No. VII Certain defec	ts in the international application						
Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this doe	the a written opinion of the International Preliminary thority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen emational Searching Authority will not be so considered.						
If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 2 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. (Nov 10/05) For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220							
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.							
Name and mailing address of the ISA/CA Canadian Intellectual Property Office Place du Portage I, C114 - 1st Floor, Box PCT 50 Victoria Street Gatineau, Quebec K1A 0C9 Facsimile No.: 001(819)953-2476	Date of completion of this opinion 09 June 2005 (09-06-2005)	Authorized officer Leah Smith (819) 956-9966					

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERN. DNAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/CA2005/000701

В	ox	No.	. I	Basis	of this opinion								
1.	, W	/ith	rega	ard to the la	inguage, this opi	nion has be	en establish	hed on the	basis of:				
	[]	X]	the	internation	al application in	the languag	ge in which	it was file	d	_			
	ſ]			f the international						, which is th	ie languag	e of a
			trai	nslation furr	nished for the pur	poses of in	iternational	search (R	ules 12.3(a) a	ind 23.1(b))) .		•
2.					ucleotide and/or nis opinion has be				l in the intern	ıational ap	plication an	d necessar	ry to the
	a.	tyj	pe o	f material									
		[[]	a sequence	e listing								
		. []		lated to the seque	ence listing							
	b.	for	rmat	t of material	1								
		I	.]	on paper									
		ĺ]	in electron	uc form			•					
	c.	tin	ne of	f filing/furn	ishing								
		[]	contained i	in the internation	al applicati	ion as filed.						
		[]	filed togeth	her with the inter	national ap	plication in	electronic	form				
		[J	furnished s	subsequently to th	nis Authori	ty for the pr	urposes of	search.				
3	[bee	n filed or fu	the case that more irnished, the requ oplication as filed	ired statem	ent that the	informati	on in the subs	sequent or	additional o	copies is i	dentical
4.	Ad	lditi	onal	comments:									
				•									
					•							•	
									·				
							,						
•												··	
				-									
						•							
													:

WP TTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNA: NAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/CA2005/000701

Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(I) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
1. Statement							
Nove	elty (N)	Claims	2-11, 13-17, 19-22, 24-35	YES			
		Claims	1, 12, 18, 23	NO			
Inver	ntive step (IS)	Claims	2-11, 13-17, 21, 22, 25, 28, 29, 33-35	YES			
		Claims	1, 12, 18-20, 23, 24, 26, 27, 30-32	NO			
Indus	strial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-35	YES			
		Claims	none	NO			

2. Citations and explanations:

- D1 US 2003/0109090 A1 (Bertin et al) 12 June 2003
- D2 US 6,713,839 B2 (Madurawe) 30 March 2004
- D3 US 5,646,438 (Frerichs) 8 July 1997

D1 discloses forming a semiconductor structure (MOSFET or anti-fuse) having a dual thickness dielectric layer, the structure comprising a polysilicon gate (30) over a channel region in a substrate, a diffusion region (34) proximate to one end of the channel region, a variable thickness gate oxide (28) between the polysilicon gate and the substrate having an oxide breakdown zone fusible to form a conductive channel between the polysilicon gate and the channel region, a thicker portion of the variable thickness gate oxide being adjacent the diffusion region.

Claim 1 is not novel over of D1 (Article 33(2) PCT), D1 teaches all the features of claim 1. As such, claim 1 does not involve an inventive step.

Claim 2 appears to be novel and to involve an inventive step (Articles 33(2) and 33(3) PCT) since none of the prior art teaches or suggests a variable thickness gate oxide having a thick side on one end of the channel and a thin side at the other end of the channel wherein the thick and thin sides meet at a predetermined distance of the present length of the channel.

Claims 3-10 are considered novel and to involve an inventive step (Articles 33(2) and 33(3) PCT) since they depend on claim 2.

Claim 11 is considered novel and to involve an inventive step (Articles 33(2) and 33(3) PCT) since none of the prior art discloses an edge of the diffusion region and a portion of the polysilicon gate to be free of salicidation.

Claim 12 does not involve an inventive step over D1 (Article 33(3) PCT). Although D1 does not specifically disclose a memory array, it is commonly know in the art to (as seen from the background of the invention) that anti-fuses are used to form memory arrays.

Claim 13 appears to be novel and to involve an inventive step (Articles 33(2) and 33(3) PCT) since none of the prior art teaches or suggests a variable thickness gate oxide having a thick side on one end of the channel and a thin side at the other end of the channel wherein the thick and thin sides meet at a predetermined distance of the present length of the channel.

Claims 13-17 are considered novel and to involve an inventive step (Articles 33(2) and 33(3) PCT) since they depend on claim 13.

- - Continued on Supplemental Page - -

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERN ONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/CA2005/000701

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

Claims 1, 12 does not comply with Article 6 of the PCT. The expression "variable thickness gate oxide having an oxide breakdown zone <u>fusible</u> to form a conductive channel between the polysilicon gate and the channel region" is confusing, it is not clear what this means. In the description page 12, lines 12-16, it is clear that the variable thickness gate oxide has a thick side and a thin side. The thin gate oxide edge meeting one diffusion region defines a fusible edge where oxide breakdown can occur and the thick gate oxide edge meeting the other diffusion region defines an access edge where oxide breakdown cannot occur and current can flow between the gate and diffusion region.

Claims 27 and 32 are identical and therefore claim 32 is redundant and should be removed.

The description does not comply with Article 5 of the PCT. As a patent document should be self contained, subject matter should not be incorporated by reference. Therefore the expression on page 1, line 4 which incorporates by reference should be removed

Every document in the description must be easily retrievable by the public, therefore the document on page I, lines 3-4 should be replaced with its equivalent patent or publication number, or removed.

The patent number is missing in line 1 of page 4.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/CA2005/000701

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.

Continuation of: \

Claim 18 is not novel and does not involve an inventive step over D2 (Articles 33(2) and 33(3) PCT). D2 discloses a method of forming a gate oxide of variable thickness for an anti-fuse transistor comprising the steps of growing an intermediate oxide in the channel region of the anti-fuse transistor (col. 2, line65 to col. 3, line 1), removing the intermediate oxide from a thin oxide region of the channel (col. 3, lines 1-2), and growing a thin oxide over the thin oxide region and the intermediate oxide in the channel region (col. 3, lines 2-6).

Claim 19 is not novel and does not involve an inventive step over D2 (Articles 33(2) and 33(3) PCT). D2 discloses forming a common gate over the two oxide regions (col. 4, lines 15-17).

Claim 20 does not involve an inventive step over D2 in light of D1 (Article 33(3) PCT). D1 discloses forming a diffusion region adjacent the intermediate oxide.

Claim 21 appears to be novel and involve and inventive step (Articles 33(2) and 33(3) PCT) since none of the prior art discloses forming a floating diffusion region adjacent the thin oxide region.

Claim 22 appears to be novel and involve and inventive step (Articles 33(2) and 33(3) PCT) since none of the prior art discloses selectively growing a salicidation protect oxide over the diffusion region.

Claim 23 is not novel and does not involve an inventive step over D3 (Articles 33(2) and 33(3) PCT). D3 discloses an antifuse transistor formed on a semiconductor material comprising an active area, a polysilicon gate (16) over the active area defining a fusible edge and an access edge, a thick gate oxide (22) adjacent the access edge, a diffusion region adjacent the access edge (12), a thin gate oxide adjacent the fusible edge (20), the thin gate oxide having lower breakdown voltage than the thick gate oxide for forming a conductive channel between the polysilicon gate and the diffusion region (col. 2, lines 25-43).

Claim 24 does not appear to involve an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) since making one edge longer than the other is within the skill of a person skilled in the art.

Claim 25 appears to be novel and involve an inventive step (Article 33(2) and 33(3) PCT) since none of the prior art discloses a length of the fusible edge being defined by at least two line segments of the polysilicon gate being at an angle to each other.

Claim 26 does not appear to involve an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) since defining the fusible edge by a width of the active area is within the skill of a person skilled in the art.

Claim 27 does not involve an inventive step over D3 in light if D1 (Article 33(3) PCT). D1 discloses a channel region between the fusible edge and the access edge, and the thick and thin gate oxides are disposed between the channel region and the gate.

Claim 28 appears to be novel and to involve an inventive step (Articles 33(2) and 33(3) PCT) since none of the prior art teaches or suggests a variable thickness gate oxide having a thick side on one end of the channel and a thin side at the other end of the channel wherein the thick and thin sides meet at a predetermined distance of the present length of the channel.

Claim 29 appears to be novel and to involve an inventive step (Articles 33(2) and 33(3) PCT) since it depends on claim 28.

Claims 30 and 31 are not considered to involve an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) since these features are within the skill of a person skilled in the art.

Claim 32 is identical to claim 27.

Claim 33 appears to be novel and involve and inventive step (Articles 33(2) and 33(3) PCT) since none of the prior art discloses forming a floating diffusion region adjacent the thin oxide region.

- - continued on next page - -

W. ITEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/CA2005/000701

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.

Continuation of: Supplemental Box

Claim34 appears to be novel and to involve an inventive step (Articles 33(2) and 33(3) PCT) since none of the prior art teaches or suggests a variable thickness gate oxide having a thick side on one end of the channel and a thin side at the other end of the channel wherein the thick and thin sides meet at a predetermined distance of the present length of the channel.

Claim 35 is considered novel and to involve an inventive step (Articles 33(2) and 33(3) PCT) since none of the prior art discloses an edge of the diffusion region and a portion of the polysilicon gate to be free of salicidation.

Claims 1-35 have industrial applicability (Article 33(4) PCT).